arXiv:math/0204096v1 [math.AG] 9 Apr 2002

PLURICANONICAL SYSTEMS OF PROJECTIVE 3-FOLD OF GENERAL TYPE

Hajime TSUJI

March, 2002

Abstract

We prove that for every positive integer $m \geq 18(2^9 \cdot 3^7)!$ and every smooth projective 3-fold of general type X defined over complex numbers, $\mid mK_X \mid$ gives a birational rational map from X into a projective space.

Contents

1 Introduction **1**

2 How to bound the degree **3**
2.1 Construction of a stratification 4
2.2 Construction of the stratification as a family 10
2.3 Use of subadjunction theorem 12
2.4 Estimate of the degree 14

3 Bounding the index of X **15**
3.1 3-dimensional terminal singularities 15
3.2 Boundedness of the degree 16
3.3 A bound for ν_3 17

1 Introduction

Let X be a smooth projective variety and let K_X be the canonical bundle of X. X is said to be a general type., if there exists a positive integer m

such that the pluricanonical system $\mid mK_X \mid$ gives a birational (rational) embedding of X. The following problem is fundamental to study projective vareity of general type.

Problem Let X be a smooth projective variety of general type. Find a positive integer m_0 such that for every $m \geq m_0$, $\mid mK_X \mid$ gives a birational rational map from X into a projective space.

If $\dim X = 1$, it is well known that $\mid 3K_X \mid$ gives a projective embedding. In the case of smooth projective surfaces of general type, E. Bombieri showed that $\mid 5K_X \mid$ gives a birational rational map from X into a projective space ([4]). In the case of $\dim X \geq 3$, recently the author gave a proof that there exists a positive integer ν_n depending only on n such that for every smooth projective n-fold X of general type, $\mid mK_X \mid$ gives a birational embedding of X into a projective space for every $m \geq \nu_n$ ([28, Theorem 1.1]).

But even in the case of 3-fold, it has not yet been known the explicit upper bound for ν_3. In this article I would like to give an explicit upper bound for ν_3.

Theorem 1.1 *For every posive integer* $m \geq 18(2^9 \cdot 3^7)!$ *and smooth projective* 3*-fold* X *of general type defined over complex numbers,* $\mid mK_X \mid$ *gives a birational rational map from* X *into a projective space.*

The bound here is astronomous and far from being optimal. Yes, this bound is definitely nonsense. But this is the first explicit bound for ν_3. I am just interested in giving an explicit bound.

It is not difficult to improve the bound for ν_3 given in Theorem 1.1. In fact there are a lot of obvious losses in the estimates given in this paper. But I decided not to include such an improvement, because I think it is completely nonsense.

One reason is that as is shown in [24], the actual bound for ν_3 may be unexpectedly large. Actually in [24, p.359, see X_{46}] M. Reid constructed a minimal 3-fold X of general type with $K_X^3 = 1/420$ (this X is a complete intersection in a weighted projective space). This already implies that $\nu_3 \geq 9$.

Another reason is that it is very plausible that we may encounter a very strange 3-fold of general type near future. In my opinion, even if there is some hope to find the best bound for ν_3, this kind of result may be uninteresting, unless it gives a perspective to the best bounds for ν_n for all n, because I think that to consider 3-folds only is meaningless.

We note that there are several previous works [3, 17, 15, 16, 5, 6] by X. Benveniste, K. Matuki, T. Luo and M. Chen. Except T. Luo, they put conditions on minimal models. But since the construction of minimal models for 3-folds is very far from being explicit, I think that if we put condition on minimal models, the meaning of the results will be very much destroyed.

In contrast to the theory of curves and surfaces, the higher dimensional algebraic geometry is rather philosophical than practical or concrete. And we should give up very refined and concrete results and change our focus to more abstract theorems, otherwise we will be involved in tremendously complicated situation and will be very hard to continue.

This paper consists of two parts.

The first part is a review of the arguments in [28]. The argument here works in all dimensions, if we assume the minimal model programs.

The second part is special for 3-folds of general type. Here we use a detailed description of 3-dimensional terminal singularities ([18]).

So far it seems to be hopeless to get a perspective about explicit bounds for ν_n for $n \geq 4$.

In this paper all the varieties are defined over **C**.

2 How to bound the degree

In this section, we shall prove the following weaker theorem which is the special case of Theorem 1.1 in [28].

Theorem 2.1 *([28, Theorem 1.1]) There exists a positive integer ν_3 such that for every projective 3-fold of general type X and for every $m \geq \nu_3$, $\mid mK_X \mid$ gives a birational embedding of X into a projective space.*

Since we need not only the result itself but also the proof, here we include the full proof of Theorem 2.1. The proof presented here is a part of the proof in [28], but it is much easier, since there exists a minimal model for a projective 3-fold of general type.

Let X be a minimal projective 3-fold of general type, i.e., X has only **Q**-factorial terminal singularities and the canonical divisor K_X is nef. We set

$$X^{\circ} = \{x \in X \mid x \not\in \mathrm{Bs} \mid mK_X \mid \text{ and } \Phi_{|mK_X|} \text{ is a biholomorphism}$$
$$\text{on a neighbourhood of } x\}.$$

Then X° is a nonempty Zariski open subset of X.

2.1 Construction of a stratification

We set

$$\mu_0 := K_X^3.$$

Lemma 2.1 *Let x, x' be distinct points on X°. We set*

$$\mathcal{M}_{x,x'} = \mathcal{M}_x \otimes \mathcal{M}_{x'},$$

where $\mathcal{M}_x, \mathcal{M}_{x'}$ denote the maximal ideal sheaf of the points x, x' respectively. Let ε be a sufficiently small positive number. Then

$$H^0(X, \mathcal{O}_X(mK_X) \otimes \mathcal{M}_{x,x'}^{\lceil \sqrt[3]{\mu_0}(1-\varepsilon)\frac{m}{\sqrt[3]{2}} \rceil}) \neq 0$$

for every sufficiently large m.

Proof of Lemma 2.1. Let us consider the exact sequence:

$$0 \to H^0(X, \mathcal{O}_X(mK_X) \otimes \mathcal{M}_{x,x'}^{\lceil \sqrt[3]{\mu_0}(1-\varepsilon)\frac{m}{\sqrt[n]{2}} \rceil}) \to H^0(X, \mathcal{O}_X(mK_X)) \to$$

$$H^0(X, \mathcal{O}_X(mK_X)/\mathcal{M}_{x,x'}^{\lceil \sqrt[3]{\mu_0}(1-\varepsilon)\frac{m}{\sqrt[3]{2}} \rceil}).$$

We note that

$$3! \cdot \overline{\lim}_{m\to\infty} m^{-3} \dim H^0(X, \mathcal{O}_X(mK_X)) = \mu_0$$

holds, since K_X is nef and big. Since

$$3! \cdot \overline{\lim}_{m\to\infty} m^{-3} \dim H^0(X, \mathcal{O}_X(mK_X)/\mathcal{M}_{x,x'}^{\lceil \sqrt[3]{\mu_0}(1-\varepsilon)\frac{m}{\sqrt[3]{2}} \rceil}) = \mu_0(1-\varepsilon)^3 < \mu_0$$

hold, we see that Lemma 2.1 holds. **Q.E.D.**

Let us take a sufficiently large positive integer m_0 and let σ be a general (nonzero) element σ_0 of $H^0(X, \mathcal{O}_X(m_0K_X) \otimes \mathcal{M}_{x,x'}^{\lceil \sqrt[3]{\mu_0}(1-\varepsilon)\frac{m_0}{\sqrt[3]{2}} \rceil})$. We define an effective $\mathbf{Q}$-divisor D_0 by

$$D_0 = \frac{1}{m_0}(\sigma_0).$$

We define a positive number α_0 by

$$\alpha_0 := \inf\{\alpha > 0 \mid (X, \alpha D_0) \text{ is not KLT at both } x \text{ and } x'\},$$

where KLT is short for of Kawamata logterminal (cf. [14, p.56, Definition 2.34]). Since $(\sum_{i=1}^{3} \mid z_i \mid^2)^{-3}$ is not locally integrable around $O \in \mathbf{C}^3$, by the construction of D_0, we see that

$$\alpha_0 \leq \frac{3\sqrt[3]{2}}{\sqrt[3]{\mu_0}(1-\varepsilon)}$$

holds. Then one of the following two cases occurs.

Case 1.1: For every small positive number δ, $(X, (\alpha_0 - \delta)D_0)$ is KLT at both x and x'.
Case 1.2: For every small positive number δ, $(X, (\alpha_0 - \delta)D_0)$ is KLT at one of x or x' say x.

We first consider Case 1.1. Let X_1 be the minimal center of log canonical singularities at x (cf. [11]). We consider the following two cases.

Case 2.1: X_1 passes through both x and x',
Case 2.2: Otherwise

For the first we consider Case 2.1. In this case X_1 is not isolated at x. Let n_1 denote the dimension of X_1. Let us define the volume μ_1 of X_1 with respect to K_X by

$$\mu_1 := K_X^{n_1} \cdot X_1.$$

Since $x \in X^\circ$, we see that $\mu_1 > 0$ holds. The proof of the following lemma is identical that of Lemma 2.1.

Lemma 2.2 *Let ε be a sufficiently small positive number and let x_1, x_2 be distinct regular points on X_1. Then for a sufficiently large $m > 1$,*

$$H^0(X_1, \mathcal{O}_{X_1}(mK_X) \otimes \mathcal{M}_{x_1,x_2}^{\lceil \sqrt[n_1]{\mu_1}(1-\varepsilon)\frac{m}{\sqrt[n_1]{2}} \rceil}) \neq 0$$

holds.

Let x_1, x_2 be two distinct regular points on X_1. Let m_1 be a sufficiently large positive integer and Let

$$\sigma_1' \in H^0(X_1, \mathcal{O}_{X_1}(mK_X) \otimes \mathcal{M}_{x_1,x_2}^{\lceil \sqrt[n_1]{\mu_1}(1-\varepsilon)\frac{m}{\sqrt[n_1]{2}} \rceil})$$

be a nonzero element.

By Kodaira's lemma there is an effective **Q**-divisor E such that $K_X - E$ is ample. Let ℓ_1 be a sufficiently large positive integer which will be specified later such that

$$L_1 := \ell_1(K_X - E)$$

is Cartier.

Lemma 2.3 *If we take* ℓ_1 *sufficiently large, then*

$$\phi_m : H^0(X, \mathcal{O}_X(mK_X + L_1)) \to H^0(X_1, \mathcal{O}_{X_1}(mK_X + L_1))$$

is surjective for every $m \geq 0$.

Proof. K_X is nef **Q**-Cartier divisor by the assumption. Let r be the index of X, i.e. r is the minimal positive integer such that rK_X is Cartier. Then since K_X is semiample ([9]), by the Kodaira-Nakano vanishing theorem, for every locally free sheaf $\mathcal{E}$, there exists a positive integer k_0 depending on $\mathcal{E}$ such that for every $\ell \geq k_0$

$$H^q(X, \mathcal{O}_X((1 + mr)K_X + L_1) \otimes \mathcal{E}) = 0$$

holds for every $q \geq 1$ and $m \geq 0$. Let us consider the exact sequences

$$0 \to \mathcal{K}_j \to \mathcal{E}_j \to \mathcal{O}_X(jK_X) \otimes \mathcal{I}_{X_1} \to 0$$

for some locally free sheaf $\mathcal{E}_j$ for every $0 \leq j \leq r - 1$, where $\mathcal{I}_{X_1}$ denotes the ideal sheaf associated with X_1. Then noting the above fact, we can prove that if we take ℓ_1 sufficiently large,

$$H^q(X, \mathcal{O}_X(mK_X + L_1) \otimes \mathcal{I}_{X_1})$$

holds for every $q \geq 1$ and $m \geq 0$ by exactly the same manner as the standard proof of Serre's vanishing theorem (cf. [8, p.228, Theorem 5.2]). This implies the desired surjection. **Q.E.D.**

Let τ be a general section in $H^0(X, \mathcal{O}_X(L_1))$. Then by Lemma 2.3 we see that

$$\sigma_1' \otimes \tau \in H^0(X_1, \mathcal{O}_{X_1}(m_1 K_X + L_1)\mathcal{M}_{x_1,x_2}^{\lceil \sqrt[n_1]{\mu_1}(1-\varepsilon)\frac{m_1}{\sqrt[n_1]{2}}\rceil})$$

extends to a section

$$\sigma_1 \in H^0(X, \mathcal{O}_X((m_1 + \ell_1)K_X)).$$

We may assume that there exists a neighbourhood $U_{x,x'}$ of $\{x, x'\}$ such that the divisor (σ_1) is smooth on $U_{x,x'} - X_1$ by Bertini's theorem, if we take ℓ_1 sufficiently large, since as Lemma 2.3

$$H^0(X, \mathcal{O}_X(mK_X + L_1) \otimes \mathcal{I}(h^m)) \to H^0(X, \mathcal{O}_X(mK_X + L_1) \otimes \mathcal{I}_{X_1} \cdot \mathcal{M}_y)$$

is surjective for every $y \in X$ and $m \geq 0$. We set

$$D_1 = \frac{1}{m_1 + \ell_1}(\sigma_1).$$

Suppose that x, x' are nonsingular points on X_1. Then we set $x_1 = x, x_2 = x'$. Let ε_0 be a sufficiently small positive rational number and define α_1 by

$$\alpha_1 := \inf\{\alpha > 0 \mid \ (\alpha_0 - \varepsilon_0)D_0 + \alpha D_1 \text{ is not KLT at both } x \text{ and } x'\}.$$

Then we may define the proper subvariety X_2 of X_1 as a minimal center of logcanonical singularities as before.

By Lemma 2.2 we may assume that we have taken m_1 so that

$$\frac{\ell_1}{m_1} \leq \varepsilon_0 \frac{\sqrt[n_1]{\mu_1}}{n_1 \sqrt[n_1]{2}}$$

holds.

Lemma 2.4

$$\alpha_1 \leq \frac{n_1 \sqrt[n_1]{2}}{\sqrt[n_1]{\mu_1}} + O(\varepsilon_0)$$

holds.

To prove Lemma 2.4, we need the following elementary lemma.

Lemma 2.5 *([29, p.12, Lemma 6]) Let a, b be positive numbers. Then*

$$\int_0^1 \frac{r_2^{2n_1-1}}{(r_1^2 + r_2^{2a})^b} dr_2 = r_1^{\frac{2n_1}{a} - 2b} \int_0^{r_1^{-2a}} \frac{r_3^{2n_1-1}}{(1 + r_3^{2a})^b} dr_3$$

holds, where

$$r_3 = r_2 / r_1^{1/a}.$$

Proof of Lemma 2.4. Let $(z_1, \ldots, z_n)$ be a local coordinate on a neighbourhood U of x in X such that

$$U \cap X_1 = \{q \in U \mid z_{n_1+1}(q) = \cdots = z_n(q) = 0\}.$$

We set $r_1 = (\sum_{i=n_1+1}^{n} \mid z_1 \mid^2)^{1/2}$ and $r_2 = (\sum_{i=1}^{n_1} \mid z_i \mid^2)^{1/2}$. Then there exists a positive constant C such that

$$\| \sigma_1 \|^2 \leq C(r_1^2 + r_2^{2\lceil \sqrt[n_1]{\mu_1}(1-\varepsilon)\frac{m_1}{\sqrt[n_1]{2}}\rceil})$$

holds on a neighbourhood of x, where $\| \quad \|$ denotes the norm with respect to $h_X^{m_1+\ell_1}$. We note that there exists a positive integer M such that

$$\| \sigma \|^{-2} = O(r_1^{-M})$$

holds on a neighbourhood of the generic point of $U \cap X_1$, where $\| \quad \|$ denotes the norm with respect to $h_X^{m_0}$. Then by Lemma 2.5., we have the inequality

$$\alpha_1 \leq (\frac{m_1 + \ell_1}{m_1})\frac{n_1 \sqrt[n_1]{2}}{\sqrt[n_1]{\mu_1}} + O(\varepsilon_0)$$

holds. By using the fact that

$$\frac{\ell_1}{m_1} \leq \varepsilon_0 \frac{\sqrt[n_1]{\mu_1}}{n_1 \sqrt[n_1]{2}}$$

we obtain that

$$\alpha_1 \leq \frac{n_1 \sqrt[n_1]{2}}{\sqrt[n_1]{\mu_1}} + O(\varepsilon_0)$$

holds. **Q.E.D.**

If x or x' is a singular point on X_1, we need the following lemma.

Lemma 2.6 *Let φ be a plurisubharmonic function on $\Delta^n \times \Delta$. Let $\varphi_t (t \in \Delta)$ be the restriction of φ on $\Delta^n \times \{t\}$. Assume that $e^{-\varphi_t}$ does not belong to $L^1_{loc}(\Delta^n, O)$ for every $t \in \Delta^*$.*

Then $e^{-\varphi_0}$ is not locally integrable at $O \in \Delta^n$.

Lemma 2.6 is an immediate consequence of [22, p.20, Theorem]. Using Lemma 2.6 and Lemma 2.5, we see that Lemma 2.4 holds by letting $x_1 \to x$ and $x_2 \to x'$.

Next we consider Case 1.2 and Case 2.2. In this case for every sufficiently small positive number δ, $(X, (\alpha_0 - \varepsilon_0)D_0 + (\alpha_1 - \delta)D_1)$ is KLT at x and not KLT at x'.

In these cases, instead of Lemma 2.2, we use the following simpler lemma.

Lemma 2.7 *Let ε be a sufficiently small positive number and let x_1 be a smooth point on X_1. Then for a sufficiently large $m > 1$,*

$$H^0(X_1, \mathcal{O}_{X_1}(mK_X) \otimes \mathcal{M}_{x_1}^{\lceil \sqrt[n_1]{\mu_1}(1-\varepsilon)m\rceil}) \neq 0$$

holds.

Then taking a general nonzero element σ'_1 in

$$H^0(X_1, \mathcal{O}_{X_1}(m_1 K_X) \otimes \mathcal{I}(h^{m_1}) \otimes \mathcal{M}_{x_1}^{\lceil \sqrt[n_1]{\mu_1}(1-\varepsilon)m_1 \rceil}),$$

for a sufficiently large m_1. As in Case 1.1 and Case 2.1 we obtain a proper subvariety X_2 in X_1 also in this case.

Inductively for distinct points $x, x' \in X^\circ$, we construct a strictly decreasing sequence of subvarieties

$$X = X_0 \supset X_1 \supset \cdots \supset X_r \supset X_{r+1} = x \text{ or } x'$$

and invariants (depending on small positive rational numbers $\varepsilon_0, \ldots, \varepsilon_{r-1}$, large positive integers $m_0, m_1, \ldots, m_r$, etc.) :

$$\alpha_0, \alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_r,$$

$$\mu_0, \mu_1, \ldots, \mu_r$$

and

$$n > n_1 > \cdots > n_r.$$

By Nadel's vanishing theorem ([20, p.561]) we have the following lemma.

Lemma 2.8 *Let x, x' be two distinct points on X°. Then for every $m \geq \lceil \sum_{i=0}^{r} \alpha_i \rceil + 1$, $\Phi_{|mK_X|}$ separates x and x'.*

Proof. For $i = 0, 1, \ldots, r$ let h_i be the singular hermitian metric on K_X defined by

$$h_i := \frac{1}{\mid \sigma_i \mid^{\frac{2}{m_i + \ell_i}}},$$

where we have set $\ell_0 := 0$. More precisely for any C^∞-hermitian metric h_X on K_X we have defined h_i as

$$h_i := \frac{h_X}{h_X^{m_i + \ell_i}(\sigma_i, \sigma_i)^{\frac{1}{m_i + \ell_i}}}.$$

Using Kodaira's lemma ([13, Appendix]), let E be an effective **Q**-divisor E such that $K_X - E$ is ample. Let m be a positive integer such that $m \geq \lceil \sum_{i=0}^{r} \alpha_i \rceil + 1$ holds. Let h_L is a C^∞-hermitian metric on the ample **Q**-line bundle

$$L := (m - 1 - (\sum_{i=0}^{r-1} (\alpha_i - \varepsilon_i)) - \alpha_r - \delta_L) K_X - \delta_L E$$

with strictly positive curvature, where δ_L be a sufficiently small positive number and we have considered h_L as a singular hermitian metric on

$(m-1-(\sum_{i=0}^{r-1}(\alpha_i-\varepsilon_i))-\alpha_r)K_X$. Let us define the singular hermitian metric $h_{x,x'}$ of $(m-1)K_X$ defined by

$$h_{x,x'} = (\prod_{i=0}^{r-1} h_i^{\alpha_i-\varepsilon_i}) \cdot h_r^{\alpha_r} \cdot h_L.$$

Then we see that $\mathcal{I}(h_{x,x'})$ defines a subscheme of X with isolated support around x or x' by the definition of the invariants $\{\alpha_i\}$'s. By the construction the curvature current $\Theta_{h_{x,x'}}$ is strictly positive on X. Then by Nadel's vanishing theorem ([20, p.561]) we see that

$$H^1(X, \mathcal{O}_X(mK_X) \otimes \mathcal{I}(h_{x,x'})) = 0$$

holds. Hence

$$H^0(X, \mathcal{O}_X(mK_X)) \to H^0(X, \mathcal{O}_X \otimes \mathcal{O}_X/\mathcal{I}(h_{x,x'}))$$

is surjective. Since by the construction of $h_{x,x'}$ (if we take δ_L sufficiently small) $\mathrm{Supp}(\mathcal{O}_X/\mathcal{I}(h_{x,x'}))$ contains both x and x' and is isolated at least one of x or x'. Hence by the above surjection, there exists a section $H^0(X, \mathcal{O}_X(mK_X))$ such that

$$\sigma(x) \neq 0, \sigma(x') = 0$$

or

$$\sigma(x) = 0, \sigma(x') \neq 0$$

holds. This implies that $\Phi_{|mK_X|}$ separates x and x'. **Q.E.D.**

2.2 Construction of the stratification as a family

In this subsection we shall construct the above stratification as a family.

We note that for a fixed pair $(x,x') \in X^\circ \times X^\circ - \Delta_X$, $\sum_{i=0}^{r}\alpha_i$ depends on the choice of $\{X_i\}$'s, where Δ_X denotes the diagonal of $X \times X$. Moving (x,x') in $X^\circ \times X^\circ - \Delta_X$, we shall consider the above operation simultaneously. Let us explain the procedure. We set

$$B := X^\circ \times X^\circ - \Delta_X.$$

Let

$$p : X \times B \longrightarrow X$$

be the first projection and let

$$q : X \times B \longrightarrow B$$

be the second projection. Let Z be the subvariety of $X \times B$ defined by

$$Z := \{(x_1, x_2, x_3) : X \times B \mid x_1 = x_2 \text{ or } x_1 = x_3\}.$$

In this case we consider

$$q_*\mathcal{O}_{X\times B}(m_0p^*K_X)\otimes\mathcal{I}_Z^{\lceil\sqrt[3]{\mu_0}(1-\varepsilon)\frac{m_0}{\sqrt[3]{2}}\rceil}$$

instead of

$$H^0(X,\mathcal{O}_X(m_0K_X)\otimes\mathcal{M}_{x,x'}^{\lceil\sqrt[3]{\mu_0}(1-\varepsilon)\frac{m_0}{\sqrt[3]{2}}\rceil}),$$

where $\mathcal{I}_Z$ denotes the ideal sheaf of Z. Let $\tilde{\sigma}_0$ be a nonzero global meromorphic section of

$$q_*\mathcal{O}_{X\times B}(m_0p^*K_X)\otimes\mathcal{I}_Z^{\lceil\sqrt[3]{\mu_0}(1-\varepsilon)\frac{m_0}{\sqrt[3]{2}}\rceil}$$

on B for a sufficiently large positive integer m_0. We set

$$\tilde{D}_0 := \frac{1}{m_0}(\tilde{\sigma}_0).$$

We define the singular hermitian metric $\tilde{h}_0$ on p^*K_X by

$$\tilde{h}_0 := \frac{1}{\mid\tilde{\sigma}_0\mid^{2/m_0}}.$$

We shall replace α_0 by

$$\tilde{\alpha}_0 := \inf\{\alpha>0 \mid \text{the generic point of } Z\subseteq \mathrm{Spec}(\mathcal{O}_{X\times B}/\mathcal{I}(h_0^{\alpha}))\}.$$

Then for every $0<\delta<<1$, there exists a Zariski open subset U of B such that for every $b\in U$, $\tilde{h}_0\mid_{X\times\{b\}}$ is well defined and

$$b \not\subseteq \mathrm{Spec}(\mathcal{O}_{X\times\{b\}}/\mathcal{I}(\tilde{h}_0^{\alpha_0-\delta}\mid_{X\times\{b\}})),$$

where we have identified b with distinct two points in X. And also by Lemma 2.6, we see that

$$b\subseteq \mathrm{Spec}(\mathcal{O}_{X\times\{b\}}/\mathcal{I}(\tilde{h}_0^{\alpha_0}\mid_{X\times\{b\}})),$$

holds for every $b\in B$. Let $\tilde{X}_1$ be the minimal center of logcanonical singularities of $(X\times B,\alpha_0\tilde{D}_0)$ at the generic point of Z. (although $\tilde{D}_0$ may not be effective this is meaningful by the construction of $\tilde{\sigma}_0$). We note that $\tilde{X}_1\cap q^{-1}(b)$ may not be irreducible even for a general $b\in B$. But if we take a suitable finite cover

$$\phi_0 : B_0 \longrightarrow B,$$

on the base change $X\times_B B_0$, $\tilde{X}_1$ defines a family of irreducible subvarieties

$$f_1 : \hat{X}_1 \longrightarrow U_0$$

of X parametrized by a nonempty Zariski open subset U_0 of $\phi_0^{-1}(U)$. Let n_1 be the relative dimension of f_1. We set

$$\tilde{\mu}_1 := K_X^{n_1} \cdot f_1^{-1}(b_0)$$

where b_0 is a general point on U_0. Continueing this process we may construct a finite morphism

$$\phi_r : B_r \longrightarrow B$$

and a nonempty Zariski open subset U_r of B_r which parametrizes a family of stratification

$$X \supset X_1 \supset X_2 \supset \cdots \supset X_r \supset X_{r+1} = x \text{ or } x'$$

constructed as before. And we also obtain invariants $\{\tilde{\alpha}_0, \ldots, \tilde{\alpha}_r\}$, $\{\tilde{\mu}_0, \ldots, \tilde{\mu}_r\}$, $\{n = \tilde{n}_0 \ldots, \tilde{n}_r\}$. Hereafter we denote these invariants without $\tilde{}$ for simplicity. By the same proof as Lemma 2.4, we have the following lemma.

Lemma 2.9

$$\alpha_i \leq \frac{n_i \sqrt[n_i]{2}}{\sqrt[n_i]{\mu_i}} + O(\varepsilon_{i-1})$$

hold for $1 \leq i \leq r$.

By Lemma 2.9 we obtain the following proposition.

Proposition 2.1 *For every*

$$m \geq \lceil \sum_{i=0}^{r} \alpha_i \rceil + 1$$

$\mid mK_X \mid$ *gives a birational rational map from* X *into a projective space.*

2.3 Use of subadjunction theorem

The following subadjunction theorem is crucial in our proof.

Theorem 2.2 *([10]) Let* X *be a normal projective variety. Let* D° *and* D *be effective* $\mathbf{Q}$*-divisor on* X *such that* $D^\circ < D$, (X, D°) *is logterminal and* (X, D) *is logcanonical. Let* W *be a minimal center of logcanonical singularities for* (X, D). *Let* H *be an ample Cartier divisor on* X *and* ϵ *a positive rational number. Then there exists an effective* $\mathbf{Q}$*-divisor* D_W *on* D *such that*

$$(K_X + D + \epsilon H) \mid_W \sim_{\mathbf{Q}} K_W + D_W$$

and (W, D_W) *is logterminal. In particular* W *has only rational singularities.*

Remark 2.1 *As is stated in [12, Remark 2.2], the assumption that W is a minimal center can be replaced that W is a local minimal center, since the argument in [10] which uses the variation of Hodge structure does not change. But in this case we need to replace K_W by the pushforward of the canonical divisor of the normalization of W, since [11, p.494, Theorem 1.6] works only locally in this case.*

Roughly speaking, Theorem 2.2 implies that $K_X + D$ (almost) dominates K_W.

Let W_j be a nonsingular model of X_j. By Theorem 2.2, we see that

$$\mu(W_j, K_{W_j}) \leq (1 + \sum_{i=0}^{j-1} \alpha_i)^{n_j} \cdot \mu_j$$

holds, where

$$\mu(W_j, K_{W_j}) := n_j! \cdot \overline{\lim}_{m\to\infty} m^{-n_j} \dim H^0(W_j, \mathcal{O}_{W_j}(mK_{W_j})).$$

We note that if we take x, x' general, W_j ought to be of general type. Otherwise X is dominated by a family of varieties of nongeneral type. This contradicts the assumption that X is of general type.

For every smooth projective variety W of general type of dimension $k \leq 2$,

$$\mu(W, K_W) \geq C(k)$$

holds, where $C(1) = 2$ and $C(2) = 1$. Then by the above inequality

$$C(n_j) \leq (1 + \sum_{i=0}^{j-1} \alpha_i)^{n_j} \cdot \mu_j$$

holds. Since

$$\alpha_i \leq \frac{\sqrt[n_i]{2} n_i}{\sqrt[n_i]{\mu_i}} + O(\varepsilon_{i-1})$$

holds by Lemma 2.9, we see that

$$\frac{1}{\sqrt[n_j]{\mu_j}} \leq (1 + \sum_{i=0}^{j-1} \frac{\sqrt[n_i]{2} n_i}{\sqrt[n_i]{\mu_i}}) \cdot C(n_j)^{-\frac{1}{n_j}}$$

holds for every $j \geq 1$. Using the above inequality inductively, we have the following lemma.

Lemma 2.10 *Suppose that $\mu_0 \leq 1$ holds. Then there exists a positive constant C independent of X such that*

$$\sum_{i=0}^{r} \frac{\sqrt[n_i]{2} n_i}{\sqrt[n_i]{\mu_i}} \leq \frac{C}{\sqrt[3]{\mu_0}}$$

holds. In fact C can be taken to be

$$C = 3\sqrt[3]{2} + (3\sqrt[3]{2}+1)\sqrt{2} + \frac{1}{2}(3\sqrt[3]{2} + (3\sqrt[3]{2}+1)\sqrt{2}+1).$$

2.4 Estimate of the degree

Lemma 2.11 *If $\Phi_{|mK_X|}$ | is birational rational map onto its image, then*

$$\deg \Phi_{|mK_X|}(X) \leq \mu_0 \cdot m^3$$

holds.

Proof. Let $p : \tilde{X} \longrightarrow X$ be the resolution of the base locus of $\mid mK_X \mid$ and let

$$p^* \mid mK_X \mid = \mid P_m \mid + F_m$$

be the decomposition into the free part $\mid P_m \mid$ and the fixed component F_m. We have

$$\deg \Phi_{|mK_X|}(X) = P_m^3$$

holds. Then by the ring structure of $R(X, K_X)$, we have an injection

$$H^0(\tilde{X}, \mathcal{O}_{\tilde{X}}(\nu P_m)) \to H^0(X, \mathcal{O}_X(m\nu K_X))$$

for every $\nu \geq 1$. We note that since $\mathcal{O}_{\tilde{X}}(\nu P_m)$ is globally generated on $\tilde{X}$, for every $\nu \geq 1$ we have the injection

$$\mathcal{O}_{\tilde{X}}(\nu P_m) \to p^*(\mathcal{O}_X(m\nu K_X).$$

Hence there exists a natural morphism

$$H^0(\tilde{X}, \mathcal{O}_{\tilde{X}}(\nu P_m)) \to H^0(X, \mathcal{O}_X(m\nu K_X))$$

for every $\nu \geq 1$. This morphism is clearly injective. This implies that

$$\mu_0 \geq m^{-3}\mu(\tilde{X}_i, P_m)$$

holds. Since P_m is nef and big on X we see that

$$\mu(\tilde{X}, P_m) = P_m^3$$

holds. Hence

$$\mu_0 \geq m^{-3} P_m^3$$

holds. This implies that

$$\deg \Phi_{|mK_X|}(X) \leq \mu_0 \cdot m^3$$

holds. **Q.E.D.**

By Lemma 2.9.2.10,2.11 we see that if $\mu_0 \leq 1$ holds, for

$$m := 1 + \lceil \sum_{i=0}^{r} \frac{\sqrt[n_i]{2n_i}}{\sqrt[n_i]{\mu_i}} \rceil$$

$\mid mK_X \mid$ gives a birational embedding of X and

$$\deg \Phi_{|mK_X|}(X) \leq C^3$$

holds. Since C is a positive constant independent of X, we have that there exists a positive constant $C(3)$ independent of X such that

$$\mu_0 = K_X^3 \geq C(3)$$

holds.

More precisely we arugue as follows. Let $\mathcal{H}$ be an irreducible component of the Hilbert scheme of a projective space. Let $\mathcal{H}_0$ be the Zariski open subset of $\mathcal{H}$ which parametrizes irreducible subvarieties. Then there exists a finite stratification of $\mathcal{H}_0$ by Zariski locally closed subsets such that on each strata there exists a simultaeneous resolution of the universal family on the strata. We note that the volume of the canonical bundle of the resolution is constant on each strata by [30, 21]. Hence there exists a positive constant $C(n)$ such that

$$\mu(X, K_X) \geq C(3)$$

holds for every projective 3-fold X of general type.

Then by Lemma 2.9 and 2.10, we see that there exists a positive integer ν_3 such that for every projective 3-fold X of general type, $\mid mK_X \mid$ gives a birational embedding into a projective space for every $m \geq \nu_3$, This completes the proof of Theorem 2.1.

3 Bounding the index of X

Let X be a canonical 3-fold of general type such that $\mu(X, K_X) = K_X^3$ is minimal among all canonical 3-fold of general type.

3.1 3-dimensional terminal singularities

Let r, a_1, a_2, a_3 be coprime positive integers. Let ξ be a primitive r-th root of unity acting on $\mathbf{C}^3$ via

$$\xi(x, y, z) = (\xi^{a_1}x, \xi^{a_1}y, \xi^{a_3}z).$$

A singularity $Q \in X$ is of type $\frac{1}{r}(a_1, a_2, a_3)$, if (X, Q) is isomorphic to $(\mathbf{C}^3, O)/\langle\xi\rangle$. It is known that $\frac{1}{r}(a_1, a_2, a_3)$ is terminal, if and only if $(a_1, a_2, a_3) = (1, -1, b)$.

In general a 3-dimensional terminal singularity is not necessarily a quotient singularity. But we can describe a 3-dimensional terminal singularity as follows.

Let G_r be the cyclic group of order r. Let ξ be a generator of G_r. Let

$$\tilde{X} = \{(x, y, z, t) \in \mathbf{C}^4 \mid xy - g(z^r, t)\},$$

where g is a polynomial. Let us define the action of G_r on $\tilde{X}$ by

$$\xi(x, y, z, t) := (\xi x, \xi^{-1} y, \xi^b z, t),$$

where b is a positive integer coprime to r. We set $X := \tilde{X}/G_r$. We may consider X as a family over $\mathbf{C}$ by the projection $(x, y, z, t) \mapsto t$. By [18], all the 3-dimensional terminal singularities of index $r \geq 5$ are obtained as a member of such a family $X \longrightarrow \mathbf{C}$. We note that since X is a Cartier divisor in $\frac{(1,-1,b)}{r} \times \mathbf{C}$, all the 3-dimensional terminal singularities of index $r \geq 5$ can be deformed to a sum of quotient singularities of type $\frac{(1,-1,b)}{r}$. The 3-dimensional terminal singularities of index ≤ 4 are described similary.

Lemma 3.1 *Let (M, Q) be a germ of a 3-dimensional terminal singularity of index $r \geq 5$. Let $\pi : (N, P) \longrightarrow (M, Q)$ be the canonical cover, i.e. π is a cycric r-covering associated with the isomorphim $\mathcal{O}_M(rK_M) \simeq \mathcal{O}_M$. Suppose that (M, Q) can be deformed to a sum of $\frac{(1,-1,b)}{r}$ as above. Then for a positive integer m,*

$$\dim H^0(N, (\mathcal{O}_N(mK_N)/\pi^*\mathcal{O}_M(mK_M))^{\otimes \ell}) \geq \frac{1}{6}\overline{(r-m)} \cdot \overline{(r-bm)} \cdot \overline{bm} \cdot \ell^3 + O(\ell^2)$$

holds, where for an integer a, $\bar{a}$ denotes the smallest nonnegative residue modulo r.

Proof. If (M, Q) is $\frac{(1,-1,b)}{r}$, the lefthandside is equal to the righthandside by an easy direct calculation (just by counting invariant monomials under the action of G_r). Otherwise since (M, Q) is a hypersurface in $\frac{(1,-1,b)}{r} \times \mathbf{C}$ as above, the assertion is again clear. **Q.E.D.**

3.2 Boundedness of the degree

We set $\mu_0 := K_X^3$. Suppose that that $\mu_0 \leq 1$ holds. Let us set

$$m_0 := \lceil 18 \frac{1}{\sqrt[3]{\mu_0}} \rceil \geq \lceil (3\sqrt[3]{2}+1) + (3\sqrt[3]{2}+1)\sqrt{2} + \frac{1}{2}(1 + 3\sqrt[3]{2} + (3\sqrt[3]{2}+1)\sqrt{2} + 1)) \frac{1}{\sqrt[3]{\mu_0}} \rceil.$$

Then by Lemma 2.11,

$$\deg \Phi_{|m_0 K_X|} \leq \mu_0 \cdot m_0^3 \leq 18^3$$

hold.

By Lemma 3.1 and the proof of Lemma 2.11, we have that

$$\deg_{|m_0 K_X|}(X) \leq \mu_0 m_0^3 - \sum_Q \frac{\overline{(r-m_0)} \cdot \overline{bm_0} \cdot \overline{(r-bm_0)}}{6r}$$

holds, where Q runs the basket of singularities on X.

We set $r_0 = \max_Q r$. Suppose that $r \geq 2m_0$ holds. Then we have that

$$\frac{\overline{(r_0-m_0)} \cdot \overline{bm_0} \cdot \overline{(r_0-bm_0)}}{6r_0} \geq \frac{1}{12}\overline{bm_0} \cdot \overline{(r_0-bm_0)} \geq \frac{r_0-1}{12}$$

hold.

Suppose that $r_0 \leq 2m_0$ holds. In this case, there exists a positive integer $m_0 \geq m_0' \leq 2m_0$ such that

$$\frac{\overline{(r_0-m_0')} \cdot \overline{bm_0'} \cdot \overline{(r_0-bm_0')}}{6r_0} \geq \frac{\overline{(r_0-m_0')}}{6r_0} \cdot \frac{r_0^2}{4} \geq \frac{r_0}{24}$$

hold. Hence combining these, we have that

$$r_0 \leq 2^6 \cdot 3 \cdot 18^3 = 2^9 \cdot 3^7$$

hold.

3.3 A bound for ν_3

In the above situation, the global index of X, i.e., the least positive integer R such that RK_X is Cartier is less than

$$r_0! \leq (2^9 \cdot 3^7)!.$$

This implies that

$$\mu_0 \geq \frac{1}{((2^9 \cdot 3^7)!)^3}$$

Hence by the formulas at the beggining of Section 3.2, we see that

$$m_0 \leq 18(2^9 \cdot 3^7)!$$

holds. This completes the proof of Theorem 1.1.

References

[1] U. Anghern-Y.-T. Siu, Effective freeness and point separation for adjoint bundles, Invent. Math. 122 (1995), 291-308.

[2] T. Bandeman- G. Detholoff, Estimates on the number of rational maps from a fixed variety to varieties of general type, Ann. Inst. Fourier 47, 801-824 (1997).

[3] X. Benveniste, Sur les applications pluricanoniques des varietes de type tres general en dimension 3. (French) [Pluricanonical mappings of threefolds of very general type] Amer. J. Math. 108 (1986), no. 2, 433–449.

[4] E. Bombieri, Canonical models of surfaces of general type, Publ. I.H.E.S. 42 (1972), 171-219.

[5] M. Chen, On pluricanonical maps for threefolds of general type. J. Math. Soc. Japan 50 (1998), no. 3, 615–621.

[6] M. Chen, On pluricanonical maps for threefolds of general type. II. Osaka J. Math. 38 (2001), no. 2, 451–468

[7] A.R. Fletcher,Contributions to Riemann-Roch on projective 3-folds with only canonical singularities and applications. Algebraic geometry, Bowdoin, 1985 (Brunswick, Maine, 1985), 221–231, Proc. Sympos. Pure Math., 46, Part 1, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 1987.

[8] R. Harthshorne, Algebraic geometry, GTM 52, Springer (1977).

[9] Y. Kawamata, Pluricanonical systmes on minimal algebraic varieties, Invent. Math. 79 (1985), 567-588.

[10] Y. Kawamata, Subadjunction of log canonical divisors II, alg-geom math.AG/9712014, Amer. J. of Math. 120 (1998),893-899.

[11] Y. Kawamata, Fujita's freeness conjecture for 3-folds and 4-folds, Math. Ann. 308 (1997), 491-505.

[12] Y. Kawamata, Semipositivity, vanishing and applications, Lecture note in School ON VANISHING THEOREMS AND EFFECTIVE RESULTS IN ALGEBRAIC GEOMETRY (ICTP, Trieste, May 2000).

[13] S. Kobayashi-T. Ochiai, Mappings into compact complex manifolds with negative first Chern class, Jour. Math. Soc. Japan 23 (1971),137-148.

[14] J. Kollár- S. Mori, Birational geometry of algebraic varieties, Cambridge Tracts in Math., Cambridge University Press (1998).

[15] T. Luo, Global 2-forms on regular 3-folds of general type. Duke Math. J. 71 (1993), no. 3, 859–869.

[16] T. Luo,Global holomorphic 2-forms and pluricanonical systems on threefolds. Math. Ann. 318 (2000), no. 4, 707–730.

[17] K. Matsuki, On pluricanonical maps for 3-folds of general type. J. Math. Soc. Japan 38 (1986), no. 2, 339–359

[18] S. Mori, On 3-dimensional terminal singularities, Nagoya Math. J. 98 (1985), 43-66.

[19] S. Mori, Flip conjecture and the existence of minimal model for 3-folds, J. of A.M.S. 1 (1988), 117-253.

[20] A.M. Nadel, Multiplier ideal sheaves and existence of Kähler-Einstein metrics of positive scalar curvature, Ann. of Math. 132 (1990),549-596.

[21] N. Nakayama, Invariance of plurigenera of algebraic varieties, RIMS preprint 1191, March (1998).

[22] T. Ohsawa and K. Takegoshi, L^2-extension of holomorphic functions, Math. Z. 195 (1987),197-204.

[23] T. Ohsawa, On the extension of L^2 holomorphic functions V, effects of generalization, Nagoya Math. J. (2001) 1-21.

[24] M. Reid, Young persons guide to canonical singularities, Algebraic geometry, Bowdoin, 1985 (Brunswick, Maine, 1985), 221–231, Proc. Sympos. Pure Math., 46, Part 1, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 1987.

[25] H. Tsuji, Analytic Zariski decomposition, Proc. of Japan Acad. 61(1992) 161-163.

[26] H. Tsuji, Existence and Applications of Analytic Zariski Decompositions, Trends in Math. Analysis and Geometry in Several Complex Variables, (1999) 253-272.

[27] H. Tsuji, On the structure of pluricanonical systems of projective varieties of general type, preprint (1997).

[28] H. Tsuji, Pluricanonical systems of projective varieties of general type, math.AG/9909021.

[29] H. Tsuji, Global generation of adjoint bundles, Nagoya Math. J. 142 (1996),5-16.

[30] H. Tsuji, Deformation invariance of plurigenera, to appear.

Author's address
Hajime Tsuji
Department of Mathematics
Tokyo Institute of Technology
2-12-1 Ohokayama, Megro 152-8551
Japan
e-mail address: tsuji@math.titech.ac.jp